## Practice Final

## Question 1

A researcher is studying the effect of an endogenous explanatory variable X on a binary outcome Y. The data-generating process (DGP) is defined as follows:

$$Y_1 = 1\{Y_1^* > 0\}$$

$$Y_1^* = X\beta + Y_2\alpha + U$$

$$Y_2 = X\gamma + Z\delta + V$$

Where Z is the exogenous variable.

- (a) Suppose  $U, V|X, Z \sim \mathcal{N}$ , write down the joint distribution under endogeneity.
- (b) Define  $U = \theta V + e$ , derive the conditional and unconditional distribution of e under the assumption Var(U) = 1.
- (c) Derive the conditional expectation of  $Y_1$  by substituting  $U = \theta V + e$  inside  $Y_1^*$ .
- (d) Define the ATE as the average treatment effect of increasing the endogenous variable  $Y_2$  by  $\Delta$ . State clearly for what distribution you are integrating, and derive an analytical expression for it.
- (e) Derive the APE and explain what this object is.
- (f) Suppose you ignore the endogeneity problem and decide to estimate the Probit model and the APE via MLE. What is the probability limit of  $\hat{\alpha}$ ? Is  $\widehat{APE}$  consistent for the true APE?
- (g) Carefully describe how you would estimate the model using the control function approach (Standardized probit with unit variance error).
- (h) Is your estimator consistent for the true  $\alpha$ ? And for the APE? Prove it.
- (i) Another approach to estimate the model is via conditional MLE, based on the joint distribution  $f(Y_1, Y_2|X, Z)$ . Derive the log-likelihood and write down the maximization problem.

## Question 2

In some empirical applications, economic agents choose one alternative from a set of alternatives to minimize a specific objective function, such as cost, regret, disutility, or loss, rather than maximizing it. To model this minimization behavior, we assume the existence of a latent function  $C_{ij}$  that encompasses an observable component  $D_{ij}(\theta)$  and an unobservable component  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ , so that

$$C_{ij}(\theta) = D_{ij}(\theta) + \varepsilon_{ij} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, J,$$

where  $C_{ij}(\theta)$  is the 'cost' that individual *i* incurs from choosing alternative *j*. Individuals then make a choice that results in the smallest *C* value. More specifically, the observed choice of individual *i*, denoted by  $Y_i$ , equals

$$Y_i = \arg\min_{j=1,\dots,J} C_{ij}(\theta).$$

(a) Under what distributional assumptions on  $\{\varepsilon_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{J}$  can we obtain

$$\Pr(Y_i = j \mid D_{i,1}(\theta), \dots, D_{i,J}(\theta)) = \frac{\exp\{-D_{ij}(\theta)\}}{\sum_{h=1}^{J} \exp\{-D_{ih}(\theta)\}}?$$

(b) Let the assumptions in (a) hold. Assume further that

$$D_{ij}(\theta) = \alpha_j + X_{ij}\beta + W_{ij}\gamma_j + Z_i\delta_j,$$

where each of  $X_{ij}$  and  $W_{ij}$  can vary freely across both i and j. What normalizations (if any) should we impose on the parameters  $\{\alpha_j\}, \beta, \{\gamma_j\}$  and  $\{\delta_j\}$  so that the model becomes identified?

- (c) The choice probability in (a) satisfies the IIA property. Explain what this means.
- (d) Is there any way to test the assumptions in (a) against the same set of assumptions, except that  $\varepsilon_{iJ}$  is not independent of  $\{\varepsilon_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{J-1}$ . Explain.